Stormwater Pollutant Monitoring







Overview

- Why we monitor Stormwater
 - Environmental Protection
 - Regulations
- The Characteristics of Stormwater Pollution and how to Manage
- How to Monitor
 - Monitoring Guidance
 - Site Specific Monitoring
 - The Monitoring Plan
- Evaluation and Effectiveness
 - Effluent Limits vs Discharge Limits
 - Legal Implications
 - Why BMP's Fail
- Costs and Alternatives
- Conclusions



Introduction

- Stormwater Pollution is an extremely big Problem
- The Stormwater Problem is New (<40 years)
- It's a Wild and Crazy thing Extremely variable
- Extremely difficult manage -No One Solution
- The key is better understanding and better communication





WHY WE MONITOR



Why We Monitor - Pollution











Why We Monitor - Ecology









Why We Monitor - Resource Consent

When to sample and frequency	Sampling Location	Sampling method	Sampling Parameters and assessment criteria	Recording, reporting and review	
Stormwater: Monitoring of stormwater prior to discharge from the site shall be undertaken on quarterly basis.	The final access point for site drainage before it is discharged to the public stormwater system: public stormwater manhole #1019653 located within the site at the end of Penihana Place.	Samples collected using extendable sampling pole, and transferred into dedicated sample jars supplied by the laboratory. Samples placed into an ice filled chilly bin and submitted under appropriate chain of custody (CoC) documentation to an IANZ accredited laboratory.	Laboratory analysis for pH, total suspended solids (TSS), dissolved and total metals (copper, zinc, lead) and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH)	Sample analysis results will be recorded and reported against ANZECC Guideline values. Reporting will be accompanies by relevant meteorological data (rainfall intensity, dry days etc.) Drains, pits and interceptors will be inspected and maintained as per the maintenance and monitoring program (Refer to Section 3.2; Management of water treatment devices). Results of inspections will be recorded in the site audit records.	
Trade Waste: Sampling undertaken biannually	One sample location (tradewaste discharge point into sewer from oil and grit interceptor treating bus washing bay). 1 QA/QC duplicate per round.	Samples collected using extendable sampling pole, and transferred into dedicated sample jars supplied by the laboratory. Samples placed into an ice filled chilly bin and submitted under appropriate chain of custody (CoC) documentation to an IANZ accredited laboratory.	Sampling program to be refined based on conditions as specified by Watercare in the Trade Waste Agreement. Proposed sampling undertaken biannually with samples analysed for pH, oil and grease and total petroleum hydrocarbons (C7-C36 and C7-C14 fractions).		



Resource Consent Conditions

	Trigger levels				
Substance	ANZECC Guidelines (2000) ^{1.2}	Trade Waste Bylaw (2013) Controlled Substance Standards			
Total metals					
Copper	-	10			
Lead	-	2			
Zinc	-	15			
Chromium		25 (Total) / 5 (vi)			
Nickel	-	5			
Dissolved metals					
Copper	0.007				
Lead	0.017				
Zinc	0.04				
Chromium	0.005				
Nickel	0.055				
ТРН					
Total C7-C36		50			
Total Suspended Solids	100 ³	1000			
pH (pH units)	6-9	6 - 10.5			
NOTES:					
All results and criteria are expressed as m	e/L				
 = no guideline criteria. 					
(1) Australian and New Zealand Guidelin	es for Fresh and Marine Water Quality, 20	00.			
(2) Values converted from µg/L					
(3) No ANZECC guideline is available and hence the value specified in Environmental Guidelines for Water Discharges from Petroleum Industry Sites					
(write, 1998) has been adopted.					





THE CHARACTERISTICS OF STORMWATER POLLUTION



Where Does it Come From

Source: Shopping centres Pollutant: Litter Potential action: Education, enforcement, litter traps

SHOPPING

Source: Industrial sites Pollutants: Heavy metals, oils and other toxicants Potential action: Enforcement, education, water-sensitive urban design



Source: Building sites Pollutants: Sediment, building materials Potential action: Temporary controls (silt fences, good site practices), enforcement, education Source: Households Pollutants: Detergents, paint, animal droppings, garden run-off Potential action: Ensure paint and other waste goes to rubbish, wash cars on lawns.

Source: Road run-off Pollutants: Heavy metals, nitrogen, sediment, hydrocarbons Potential action: Water-sensitive road design (swales, biofilters), cleaner fuels

The First Flush Phenomena



No Two Storms are the Same



Figure 6. Typical pollutographs for total and dissolved Cu, Pb, Ni, and Zn during two representative storm events



Rainfall and Concentration





Contaminates Transform







Size Matters?





Stormwater is a Soup







HOW TO MANAGE IT

Best Management Practices



Structural













Non Structural BMP's













Three Keys to BMP Performance: Concentration, Volume, and Total Load

Better results with only 50% removal. It all depends on the input.





Performance Varies With Concentration





Load Reduction



In this example, the BMP removes 50 kg or 50% of the "total load" of this pollutant. It does not reduce the volume of stormwater discharged.



In this example, the BMP removes 75 kg or 75% of the "total load" of this pollutant. The "true" performance of this BMP is only apparent when we factor in the impact of volume reduction and calculate the total load of the pollutant. SKY AND SEA





National and International Guidance

- USEPA
 - <u>Industrial Stormwater Monitoring and Sampling</u>
 <u>Guide</u>
 - <u>NPDES Stormwater Sampling guide</u>.
- New Zealand
 - <u>Environment Southland, Design of Stormwater</u>
 <u>Monitoring Programmes</u>



Monitoring Programme

- Site selection considerations, to ensure samples accurately represent the area of interest and to ensure safety of equipment and personnel.
- **Storm event considerations** such as the depth of rainfall required and the time between storms.
- Flow measurement methods including water level control structures, water level measurements and direct flow measurements; and the advantages and disadvantages of each.

nal.

- Water sampling methods, using grab sampling and auto samplers; time proportional, flow-proportional and volume-proportional sampling; and discrete versus composite samples.
- Analytes and methods that will provide relevant and useable data for different monitoring programmes.
- Data collection, management and manipulation: to obtain the maximum value from monitoring programmes through collection of additional metadata, error checking and calculation of stormwater measures such as EMC and loads.

Grab Sampling





- For Grad sample to be meaning full the following information should acquired.
 - Numerous samples with time noted at each sample
 - Time calibrated rain gauge
 - Samples should be collected through the duration of the storm.



Flow Proportional Sampling







Methods



5HC ±	N/e		
	1/10		
		1	Dires
	- XER	> / =	134

Pipette sample and evenly distribute onto filter



THE R. P. LEWIS CO., LANSING MICH.	Management and the little of t	Productive Residence	a transport constitution oper as all	when engineering months	the descention and dec
and the second second	THE REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY.		and the second states when the second states when the second states are set of the second states and states are set of the second states are second states are set of the second states are second states are set of the second states are second are		

Analyte	Bothe type	Preservation method	Recommended maximum holding tare when preserved	Recommended Analytical Method	Method Rotorshoe	detection limit
Total metalo (except mercury)	Add-washed PE	Add HMO ₃ to pH42	6 months	Total recoverable digestion (FNO) / HO) estraction, ICP-6/8	U95PA 200.2	Cu 2:0005 mgL 2h 0:001 mgL As 0:001 mgL Cr 2:0005 mgL N 0:005 mgL Pb 2:0001 mgL
(except mercury)	Activulating PB	Piter immediately then and HNOS to pH-2 if cannot be fitered, core as < e10	é monthe	Fitrator, KP-4/8	U\$884 200.2	Cu 53096 mgt. 2x 0.001 mgt. As 0.001 mgt. Cr 53006 mgt. N 0.006 mgt. Po 30001 mgt.
Total mentury	Acto-washed gass.	800er HNO, to perci or 0.5% H/AO ₆ , 0.025% K(Ot)O	28 days	Hydrotectord vapour AAS, or cord vectorr APS, or ICP-MS	APHA 31128, USEPA 245.7, APHA 2125	0.0001 mg4.
Dissolved mercury	Acto-weathed gales	Piter immediately then add HNC0 to pH+2 if cannot be fittered, date at < 210	28 days	Pitration over hydroxycaid vagour AAS, or cold vagour AFS, or ICP- MS	APHA 31128, USEPA 245.7, APHA 3125	0.0001 mgt.
TPH	Golvent washed glass	- 4°0, Historito pH +2	7 daya	Golvent extraction, GO-FID	OIENG or USEPA. 80160	0.2 mpl.
VOCs	Solvent-washed amber glass	-40	7 daya	Purge and trap or headspace extraction, GC-M8	UGERA S221	0.000 mpl.
8V00s	Solvent washed glass	-410	14 days	Cold phase or louidillauid extraction (LLC), CO-MG selected for monitoring (SIM)-quantification	U8EPA 8270	001 mgl.
PArb	Solvent washed glass	+4%	94 days	Sold phase or LLE, GOMS SM quantification	U857A-6278	0.0001 mpl.
Phonois	Solvent washed glass	= 4°G, H ₂ SG, to pH =2	26 days	Sold phase or LLE, GOMS SM quantification	USERA 8278	COI mail.

Design of Stornwater Monitoring Programmer

26 9 Ootober 2014 2.11 p.m.

Quality Assurance & Consistency

- Minimum of 3 dry days before a monitoring event
- Minimum of 6 samples
- Photos of samples and visual observations noted.
- Duplicates taken
- Quality Assurance Plan



Costs

- Grab Sampling
 - At least 6 samples
 - 13 x 6 x 30=\$2340⁺⁺ per storm
 - No very meaningful
- Flow Proportional
 - \$3-5000 Set Up
 - \$180 per storm

 At least 6 samples 	Substance
- 13 x 6 x 30=\$2340 ⁺⁺ per storm	Total metals
	Copper Lead
 No very meaningful 	Zinc
/ 8	Chromium
Flow Pronortional	Nickel Dissolved metals
	Copper
62 E000 Sat Up	Lead
– \$3-5000 Set Op	Zinc
	Chromium
– \$180 per storm –	NICKEI
	Total C7-C36
 Representative and comparable Date 	Total Suspended Solids
	pH (pH units)
DECENTION FOR A DECEMPTOR AND A	



ISCO WASTE WATER SAMPLER 6712 NO BOTTLES INCLUDED ISCO 6712 ISCO WATER SAMPLER

Pre-Owned

NZD1.505.50 Was: NZD1 001 00 or Rest Offer +NZD415.68 shipping See more like this 20% off

From United States

Customs services and international tracking provided





EVALUATION



Water Quality and Effluent Limits

- ANZECC is an example of water quality guideline for ambient water.
- Currently no discharge quality guidelines in NZ



Development of Effluent Guidelines

- To develop Effluent Guidelines, EPA first gathers information on:
- industry practices
- characteristics of discharges (e.g., pollutants, flow variability, stormwater)
- technologies or practices used to prevent or treat the discharge
- economic characteristics
- EPA identifies the best available technology that is economically achievable for that industry and sets regulatory requirements based on the performance of that technology. The Effluent Guidelines do not require facilities to install the particular technology identified by EPA; however, the regulations do require facilities to achieve the regulatory standards which were developed based on a particular model technology.



Action Levels

Parameter	Test Method	Reporting Units	Annual NAL	Instantaneous Maximum NAL
рН*	See Section XI.C.2	pH units	N/A	< 6.0 or > 9.0
Suspended Solids (TSS)*, Total	SM 2540-D	mg/L	100	400
Oil & Grease (O&G)*, Total	EPA 1664A	mg/L	15	25
Zinc, Total (H)	EPA 200.8	mg/L	0.26**	
Copper, Total (H)	EPA 200.8	mg/L	0.0332**	

Source: California Water Board Numeric Action Levels

Alternately develop dilution factors(multiplier) based on ANZECC to allow for mixing zones for discharge location



Structural BMP Performance



Dissolved Zinc (µg/L)

Legal Issues

- Not possible to set a effluent limit on a municipal network as it is not possible to control the sources of pollutants.
- It is possible to set a limit for an industrial site as they should be able to control what goes on a site.



Why BMP's Fail

- Designed incorrectly
- Constructed incorrectly
- Not being maintained correctly









Process monitoring/ Physical progress monitoring

- An alternative to discharge monitoring
- Is process monitoring/ physical progress monitoring and review.
- The leading cause of BMP failure is poor design, construction and operation.
- i.e. make sure the BMP is design correctly, Constructed correctly and Operated correctly.
- This can be applied to both structural and Non structural
- More meaningful than discrete grab sampling.

Conclusion

- The variable nature of stormwater pollutants makes monitoring stormwater difficult.
- Water quality guidelines are not discharge quality guidelines
- Conditions should either require a approved monitoring/ sampling plan or prescribe how sampling should undertaken
- Automatic storm based sampling is more meaningful the grab samples
- Structural BMP's performance is limited other method's may be needed top meet limits.
- Catchment or network wide discharge limits are currently not legally enforceable
- Action levels should be set considering land use, performance ability of best available technology's or dilution factors
- BMP fail because of incorrect design, construction and maintenance. Process monitoring can address this



Thank You &

Questions?

michaelh@stormwater360.co.nz