# National planning standards

-The rubber hits the road

Rebecca Lloyd MNZPI Adam McCutcheon Int.NZPI





Ministry for the Environmen Manata Mo Te Taiao



# Thank you for your support

- Pilot council programme has been especially useful
- Great attendance at regional roadshows
- Detailed submissions on the draft standards
- Input from professional & stakeholder organisations





## What are we covering today?

- Implementation timeframes and support
- What we heard from submissions
- Key changes to the final set
- How we are going to work from now
- Questions





### **Implementation timeframes**

Year	1 2	2 3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Electronic accessibility	All councils Baseline e- accessibility (no change)							Small cound	<b>cils:</b> ePlans
Regional and unitary councils	Regional policy statements All standards					<b>Regional and unitary plans</b> (including Auckland Unitary Plan) All standards			
[					Recent p	lans			
District councils		<b>Majority of district plans</b> All standards (no change) + 2 years for definitions			ards (no chai rs for definit ed district p	nge) ions			





### What we heard from submissions

- General support
- Differing viewpoints & philosophies
- Implementation will be challenging
- ePlans are costly, particularly for smaller councils
- Structure standards need to better enable integrated management
- Tweaks needed to zone framework
- Definitions are a new approach
- Practice is varied at the moment we had to make some calls





### Summary of key changes

- 17 planning standards, including 'Foundation' & 'Implementation'
- Directions revised to be more clear and concise
- Some definitions removed, others revised, a few added
- New Combined plan structure
- More zones added to the zone framework
- Rule tables have been removed
- Centralisation of coastal provisions





### Structure standards

- Each type of plan has its own structure standard
- 'Levels' better recognised. Contains parts, headings, chapters & sections
- Flexibility for regional policy statements and regional plans retained
- More certainty for district plans
- Combined plan enables a more integrated approach
- Coastal provisions have a clearer home





### **Chapter standards**

#### **Introduction & General provisions**

- How the plan works
- Interpretation
- National direction instruments
- Tangata whenua/mana whenua

#### **District wide matters chapters**

- Strategic direction
- Energy, infrastructure and transport
- Hazards and risks
- Historical and cultural values
- Natural environment values
- Subdivision
- General district-wide matters
- Tangata whenua/mana whenua provisions should be integrated
- Additional chapter can often be included
- Additional sections can be included





### **Definitions standard**

#### Used broad drafting with an approach that enables:

- Subcategory terms, or those with a narrower application to be defined
- Additional terms without the same or equivalent meaning to be defined
- Exclusions & standards managed through rules, not definitions
- Need to be workable in both regional and district contexts
- Consequential amendments can be made to avoid duplication or conflict
- Te reo Māori terms used in rules must be defined or translated





### Zone framework & spatial layers

- 31 zones in the final framework
- Zone 'descriptions' now 'purpose statements'
- Some zones are the same, but have different names
- Flexibility to choose mixed use type zone or segregate activities
- Separate regional and district spatial layers standards
- Area specific responses achieved through additional spatial layers ie, precincts, development areas & overlays





### Format standard

- Rule tables not included
- Objectives, policies and rules grouped with like provisions
- Making provision type and status clearer to users
- More defined and consistent schedules
- Alpha-numbering retained but revised





### **Electronic accessibility & functionality**

#### Basic requirements to be met within one year

- Plans no more than three clicks from council home page
- Word searchable
- Digital datasets uploaded to data.govt.nz
- Datums and projections must meet Datum NZGD2000 and NZTM2000
- New policy statement or plan information must meet Datum NZVD2016





### **Electronic accessibility & functionality**

**Requires plans and policy statements to transition to ePlan** system

- Sets functionality requirements systems must meet, such as property search
- Must be able to print and view plan as a whole
- Submissions function
- View at any date





## **Mapping standard**

- Colours for zones revised to be more clearly differentiated
- Some overlay symbols removed, others revised
- Can include own symbols for other mapped features

Zone name	Colour	Description
Large lot residential zone		RGB: 242, 235, 204
Low density residential zone		RGB 255, 255, 190
General residential zone		RGB: 245, 245, 122
Medium density residential zone		RGB: 254, 219, 125
High density residential zone		RGB: 255, 181, 97
General rural zone		RGB: 181, 187, 125
Rural production zone		RGB: 168, 181, 122





#### **Designations & noise metrics standards**

#### **Requiring authority table revised for clarity**

- Separated from zone framework standard
- One chapter for each requiring authority & one table for each designation
- Conditions can be an appendix, free text or linked
- Acronyms for most common requiring authorities

## Some New Zealand noise and vibration Standards have been incorporated by reference

• Plan rules to manage noise must be in accordance with the standard mandatory noise measurement methods & symbols, or metrics for peak particle velocity





## Planning standards into the future

- They are now in the national direction toolbox
- Some ideas for future planning standards have been shared by industry groups and stakeholders





### We're focusing on 1:1 support

- Focusing 1:1 support to drafting drafting full reviews now
- **Providing initial guidance with gazettal**
- Further guidance and/or case studies later
- Collaboration between councils will be important
- We're working with the following councils who are going through reviews:
  - New Plymouth District Council
  - Timaru District Council
  - Porirua City Council
  - Whanganui District Council
  - Waimakariri District Council

- Nelson City Council
- Wellington City Council
- Napier City Council
- Waikato District Council





## If you need more information

- Recommendations reports
- s32AAs
- If you can't find answers in the reports get in contact

#### planningstandards@mfe.govt.nz





### **Question time**



